

Session 1

LGBTQ+ & THE BIBLE



First Things First



St. John's Discipleship Resources

© 2023 - 2026

© 2023-2026| May be shared with attribution for educational or ministry use.

LGBTQ+ AND THE BIBLE

Session 1: First Things First

Understanding What the Bible Does—and Does Not—Say About Same-Sex Relationships

Primary Scriptures: 2 Timothy 3:16–17; Matthew 7:15–20

Additional Scriptures for Study: Luke 10:25–37; Matthew 22:34–40; Acts 8:26–40; Acts 15:1–29; 1 Corinthians 6:9–11; Jude 7

INTRODUCTION: WHY THIS STUDY MATTERS

For many LGBTQ+ people, the Church has not always been a place of comfort, belonging, or spiritual encouragement. Instead, it has sometimes been a source of fear, confusion, rejection, and deep emotional wounds. People have been told that God does not accept them, that their capacity to love is inherently sinful, or that their eternal salvation is in jeopardy because of their sexual orientation.

These messages have consequences. Some people spend years struggling to reconcile their faith with their understanding of themselves. Others distance themselves from Christian community, not because they have stopped believing in God, but because they have come to associate the Church with condemnation rather than grace.

Many have heard the same handful of Bible verses repeated throughout their lives without ever being given the opportunity to examine those passages carefully. They have been told what the Bible supposedly says, but not necessarily what the biblical writers meant, whom they were addressing, or what was happening in the world in which they wrote.

The purpose of this study is to address that confusion by examining what Scripture actually says—and what it does not say—about same-sex relationships. We will consider the historical setting, original languages, cultural assumptions, and theological context of the passages most frequently used to condemn homosexuality.

This is not an attempt to dismiss biblical authority or explain away Scripture. It is an effort to take the Bible seriously enough to examine it carefully rather than simply accepting interpretations that have been repeated for generations.

We begin with a foundational conviction: **the Bible deserves to be understood in its own historical, linguistic, and theological context, rather than through assumptions imposed upon it centuries later.**

1. RECOGNIZING THE HARM THAT HAS BEEN DONE

Before examining individual biblical passages, it is important to acknowledge the experiences many people bring to this discussion. For some, the subject of homosexuality and the Bible is an interesting theological question. For others, it is deeply personal because the conclusions reached by churches and religious

leaders have directly affected their families, relationships, emotional well-being, and sense of belonging to God.

A person who has repeatedly heard that God rejects them may eventually begin to believe it. When those messages come from parents, pastors, teachers, or other trusted spiritual authorities, they can be especially difficult to overcome. Religious rejection can become intertwined with a person's understanding of God, making it difficult to distinguish the character of Jesus from the behavior of those who claim to represent Him.

The Church must acknowledge that its words carry spiritual weight. When Christians speak in God's name, people may reasonably assume that what they are hearing reflects God's own attitude toward them. That creates an enormous responsibility to ensure that our teaching is faithful to Scripture and that our conclusions are not simply inherited prejudices dressed in biblical language.

This study cannot undo every painful experience, but it can provide an opportunity to revisit the biblical passages involved. It can help separate what the text actually says from what people have been told it says. For those who have experienced rejection, careful study may also provide a way to recover confidence in Scripture without having to accept every interpretation previously presented as unquestionable truth.

The goal is not to minimize the pain that has occurred. It is to prevent that pain from continuing through careless or inaccurate uses of the Bible.

2. MOST PEOPLE WHO DISAGREE ARE NOT TRYING TO HURT YOU

One of the most important things to recognize is that many Christians who hold non-affirming views of homosexuality genuinely love the LGBTQ+ people in their lives. They may be parents, grandparents, friends, pastors, or fellow church members who sincerely believe that their understanding of Scripture is correct.

Many have been taught throughout their lives that the Bible clearly condemns homosexuality. They may never have examined the relevant Hebrew or Greek words, considered the historical setting of the passages, or explored how those texts have been translated and interpreted over time.

Instead, they are repeating the understanding they received from people they trusted. Their convictions may be sincere even when the biblical interpretation underlying those convictions has not been carefully examined.

For some, the concern is particularly serious because they have been taught that homosexuality places a person's eternal salvation at risk. When they express alarm or opposition, they may genuinely believe they are trying to protect someone they love from spiritual danger.

Recognizing their intentions does not mean accepting their conclusions. Love and sincerity do not automatically make an interpretation correct. Christians can be genuinely concerned about another person's spiritual welfare while misunderstanding what Scripture teaches.

Understanding this distinction can change the way we respond. Rather than assuming that everyone who disagrees is motivated by hatred, we can recognize that many people are operating from the level of biblical understanding they have been given.

That creates an opportunity for education. Instead of responding only with anger or defensiveness, we can patiently explain what the biblical texts actually say, why their historical context matters, and where familiar interpretations may have gone beyond the evidence.

Our responsibility is not simply to challenge an incorrect interpretation, but to help others understand Scripture more accurately.

3. THE GOAL IS NOT TO WIN A THEOLOGICAL DEBATE

Discussions about homosexuality and the Bible can quickly become arguments in which each person attempts to prove that the other is wrong. Bible verses are exchanged, theological authorities are cited, and both participants may leave the conversation more firmly convinced of their original positions.

That is not the purpose of this study.

Our goal is to understand Scripture and communicate that understanding in a way that reflects the character of Jesus. We do not need to defeat another person in an argument in order to demonstrate the truth of the gospel.

Read Matthew 7:15–20

Jesus teaches that people are recognized by the fruit their lives produce. His immediate concern is the recognition of false prophets, but the principle reminds us that the character and conduct produced by a person's faith matter.

For LGBTQ+ Christians, this provides an important perspective. A life characterized by love, faithfulness, integrity, generosity, service, humility, and devotion to Christ offers a powerful witness. Such a life challenges the assumption that being LGBTQ+ is inherently incompatible with Christian faith.

A theological argument may cause someone to reconsider a particular interpretation, but a life that consistently reflects Jesus can challenge assumptions at a much deeper level. People who have been taught that LGBTQ+ Christians cannot genuinely know or follow Christ may find those assumptions difficult to maintain when confronted with believers whose lives demonstrate the fruit of the Spirit.

This does not make biblical study unnecessary. Scripture remains essential, and inaccurate interpretations deserve correction. It does mean that our conduct should reinforce rather than undermine what we teach.

We should therefore approach those who disagree with patience and kindness, remembering that our purpose is understanding rather than victory. The way we treat others should itself be evidence of the gospel we proclaim.

4. THE BIBLE DOES NOT ADDRESS SEXUAL ORIENTATION AS WE UNDERSTAND IT TODAY

One of the most important distinctions in this entire study is the difference between ancient understandings of sexual behavior and the modern concept of sexual orientation.

Today, sexual orientation generally refers to a person's enduring pattern of romantic or sexual attraction. We distinguish between heterosexual, homosexual, and bisexual orientations because we recognize that attraction is not simply an isolated sexual act. It can be a persistent dimension of a person's experience, affecting whom they love, with whom they form emotional bonds, and how they understand their relationships.

The biblical writers did not organize their understanding of human sexuality around these modern categories. They knew that sexual behavior could occur between people of the same sex, but they did not describe human beings using the contemporary framework of sexual orientation.

This distinction is essential because the Bible's references to particular sexual behaviors cannot automatically be assumed to address everything we mean today when we speak about gay or lesbian people.

When a modern reader encounters an English translation that uses the word *homosexual*, it is easy to assume that the biblical writer was discussing the same category of people we identify by that word today. That assumption can substantially alter how the passage is understood.

The ancient biblical world and the modern world do not use identical categories for describing sexuality. Before applying an ancient passage to contemporary relationships, we must first understand the behavior, circumstances, and concerns the biblical writer was actually addressing.

The Bible does not discuss sexual orientation using the modern understanding of enduring same-sex attraction and identity. That is a foundational distinction for interpreting the passages we will examine throughout this study.

5. THE WORD “HOMOSEXUAL” IS RELATIVELY MODERN

The history of the word *homosexual* illustrates how easily modern concepts can be projected backward into Scripture.

The term emerged in the nineteenth century and is generally associated with Karl-Maria Kertbeny, who used it in 1869. This was long after the biblical books had been written and centuries after major English Bible translations had appeared.

The King James Version was published in 1611, more than 250 years before the term *homosexual* entered modern usage. Consequently, its translators did not use that word when translating passages now commonly associated with homosexuality.

The word began appearing in English Bible translations in the twentieth century, notably in the 1946 Revised Standard Version of the New Testament. Its appearance represented a translation decision made

within a particular historical period, not the discovery of an ancient biblical word that had previously been overlooked.

This matters because the modern word can communicate a much broader meaning than the ancient terms being translated. A person who reads that “homosexuals” are condemned may reasonably understand the passage as addressing everyone who experiences same-sex attraction, regardless of whether they engage in sexual activity.

But the ancient text did not use that modern identity category. The translators selected an English word they believed communicated the meaning of the underlying language.

Translation decisions can be examined and questioned. Doing so is not an attack on Scripture; it is an effort to distinguish the inspired biblical text from the interpretive choices made by those who translate it.

6. WHAT WORDS WERE ACTUALLY USED?

Several Hebrew and Greek expressions have been associated with homosexuality in English translations or subsequent biblical interpretation. Understanding their basic meanings helps explain why the modern word *homosexual* cannot simply be read backward into Scripture.

***Qadesh* — Hebrew**

The Hebrew term *qadesh* is associated with persons connected to prohibited religious practices and has traditionally been understood in some contexts as referring to cultic prostitution. Older English translations sometimes used the word *sodomite* for this term.

The difficulty is that *sodomite* acquired a much broader meaning in later Christian usage, often becoming a general label for male homosexual behavior. Readers could therefore assume that the Hebrew text was identifying homosexual people as a category when the underlying terminology concerned a particular religious or cultic setting.

***Malakos* — Greek**

The Greek word *malakos* literally means “soft.” It could describe soft clothing and could also be used figuratively for luxury, self-indulgence, moral weakness, or behavior regarded as effeminate within ancient cultural expectations.

In 1 Corinthians 6:9, the plural form *malakoi* has been translated in several ways, including expressions associated with effeminacy, male prostitution, and homosexuality.

Those translations are not interchangeable. The basic Greek word does not function as a straightforward equivalent of the modern term *homosexual*.

***Arsenokoites* — Greek**

The Greek term *arsenokoites* is an unusual compound formed from words associated with “male” and “bed,” the latter often having a sexual meaning. The term appears in 1 Corinthians 6:9 and 1 Timothy 1:10.

Its precise meaning has been debated because it is rare and its surviving uses provide limited information about its full range of meaning. English translations have rendered it with expressions such as *sodomites*, *homosexuals*, and descriptions of particular male sexual behavior.

We will examine this term much more closely later in the study, including its linguistic history and the context in which Paul uses it.

***Heteras Sarkos* — Greek**

Jude 7 contains the Greek expression *heteras sarkos*, meaning “other flesh” or “different flesh.” The phrase has sometimes been interpreted as referring to same-sex sexual behavior because Jude discusses Sodom and Gomorrah.

However, the meaning of the phrase must be understood within Jude's own argument and its references to the events of Genesis. The expression itself does not mean “homosexuality.”

These examples demonstrate an essential principle: **the English words used in Bible translations are not always identical in meaning to the ancient words they represent.** When a translation uses modern terminology, we must examine whether that terminology accurately communicates the original writer's meaning.

7. THE BIBLE WAS WRITTEN IN DIFFERENT LANGUAGES

The first major principle of responsible biblical interpretation is that the Bible was not written in English.

The Old Testament was written primarily in Hebrew, with some portions in Aramaic. The New Testament was written in Koine Greek, the common Greek language of the eastern Mediterranean world during the first century.

Every English Bible therefore represents a translation from languages that developed within cultures very different from our own.

Words do not always have exact equivalents across languages. A single Hebrew or Greek word may have several possible meanings depending upon context, while an English word may communicate associations that were absent from the ancient language.

This is especially important when studying passages about sexuality. Modern English terms may carry assumptions about identity, psychology, relationships, and social categories that were not part of the original vocabulary.

Responsible interpretation begins by asking what a word meant in the language in which it was written. We must then consider how that word was used in its sentence, passage, and historical setting.

A dictionary definition alone is not always sufficient. Words derive meaning from usage, and their meaning can change depending upon the context in which they appear.

We do not need to become fluent in Hebrew or Greek to study Scripture faithfully. We do, however, need to recognize that translation involves interpretation and that an English rendering should not automatically be treated as though it reproduces every nuance of the original text.

8. THE BIBLE WAS WRITTEN OVER MANY CENTURIES

The second major principle is that the Bible was not written all at once.

Its books emerged across many centuries, reflecting different historical periods, political circumstances, religious institutions, and cultural environments. The world of ancient Israel was not identical to the world of the prophets, and neither was identical to the Greco-Roman world of the New Testament.

This chronological distance matters because the biblical story unfolds over time. Laws, covenant obligations, religious practices, and understandings of God's purposes must be interpreted within their place in that unfolding story.

For example, Christians do not ordinarily approach every command in the Law of Moses as though it applies directly and without distinction to contemporary Christian life. We recognize that the coming of Jesus, the establishment of the New Covenant, and the inclusion of Gentiles changed the relationship between believers and many practices that once distinguished Israel.

The New Testament itself demonstrates this process. In Acts 15, the early Church considers whether Gentile believers must observe the Law of Moses in the same manner as Jewish believers. The resulting decision reflects the Church's understanding that the gospel has changed the circumstances under which God's people live.

That same attention to chronology is necessary when studying passages concerning sexuality. We must understand when a passage was written, what covenant context it belongs to, and how its teaching relates to the larger biblical story.

A biblical passage cannot be properly understood apart from its historical location and theological context.

9. THE BIBLE WAS WRITTEN TO PARTICULAR PEOPLE IN PARTICULAR CULTURES

The third major principle is that every biblical book was written within a specific cultural setting and addressed an identifiable audience or community.

The biblical writers communicated with people who understood social customs, religious practices, political realities, and cultural assumptions that may be unfamiliar to modern readers. Much of that background is not explained in the text because the original audience already knew it.

This creates an interpretive responsibility for us. Before deciding how a passage applies today, we should first ask what it communicated to the people who originally received it.

When Paul wrote to the Corinthians, he was addressing a Christian community living in a particular Greco-Roman city with identifiable religious and moral problems. When Moses gave instructions to Israel, those instructions belonged to the covenant life of an ancient nation. When Jude referred to Sodom, he did so within an argument shaped by Jewish traditions and concerns about judgment.

These settings matter because biblical writers were addressing actual circumstances, not writing in anticipation of every social category that would emerge thousands of years later.

Ignoring cultural context can lead readers to mistake an ancient practice for a modern one or to apply a prohibition to circumstances the original writer was not discussing.

Understanding context does not weaken biblical authority. It helps us determine what the authoritative text actually teaches.

10. WHAT DOES IT MEAN THAT THE BIBLE IS INSPIRED?

Read 2 Timothy 3:16–17

Christians describe the Bible as the inspired Word of God. This conviction is foundational to Christian faith and central to the way we approach Scripture.

The Greek word translated *inspired* in 2 Timothy 3:16 is *theopneustos*, commonly understood to mean “God-breathed.” It emphasizes the divine origin of Scripture and its usefulness for teaching, correction, and instruction in righteousness.

But inspiration does not mean mechanical dictation.

The biblical writers were not simply passive stenographers recording words while their personalities, experiences, vocabulary, and cultural circumstances were suspended. God worked through human authors who wrote in recognizable literary styles and addressed the needs of real communities.

The Bible contains poetry, historical narrative, prophecy, wisdom literature, personal correspondence, law, and other forms of writing. Its authors express themselves differently because they were different people writing within different circumstances.

Paul's letters, for example, contain responses to particular problems in early Christian congregations. The Psalms express the prayers and emotions of people worshiping God. The prophets speak within the political and religious crises of their times.

Recognizing these human dimensions does not diminish inspiration. It helps us understand how inspiration operates through Scripture.

God inspired the biblical writings through human authors who communicated within their own languages, cultures, and historical circumstances.

11. INSPIRED DOES NOT MEAN DICTATED

The distinction between inspiration and dictation has significant implications for biblical interpretation.

If Scripture were understood as a collection of statements dictated without regard for human authorship, historical context might appear unnecessary. A reader could simply extract individual sentences and apply them directly to modern circumstances.

But the Bible itself does not function that way. Its authors use figures of speech, cultural references, arguments, historical examples, poetry, and literary structures. Understanding their intended meaning requires attention to those features.

When Paul addresses a particular issue in a congregation, we must understand the issue he is addressing. When a Hebrew law refers to a practice in ancient Israel, we must understand that practice. When a biblical writer uses a word with several possible meanings, we must examine the context rather than assume the first available English definition is sufficient.

The doctrine of inspiration therefore gives us a reason to study Scripture more carefully, not less carefully.

If God chose to communicate through human authors in particular historical settings, then respecting those settings is part of respecting the way God gave us Scripture.

Careful interpretation is not an alternative to faith in biblical inspiration. It is one expression of that faith.

12. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SCRIPTURE AND OUR INTERPRETATION

One of the most important distinctions Christians can make is between what Scripture says and what we understand Scripture to mean.

The biblical text is not identical to our interpretation of it.

Christians can sincerely believe that the Bible is inspired by God while disagreeing about the meaning of particular passages. Throughout Christian history, believers have revisited interpretations concerning slavery, the role of women, religious freedom, and many other subjects.

In each case, the question has not simply been whether Scripture is authoritative. The question has also been whether familiar interpretations accurately reflected the biblical text.

The same principle applies to homosexuality. A Christian may have been taught from childhood that the Bible clearly condemns every same-sex relationship. That belief may feel inseparable from biblical authority because the interpretation was presented as the plain meaning of Scripture.

But if the relevant passages concern particular ancient behaviors, cultural circumstances, or words whose meanings have been obscured by translation, then the familiar interpretation deserves examination.

Questioning an interpretation is not necessarily questioning Scripture. Sometimes it is the very process through which we come to understand Scripture more faithfully.

This distinction allows us to approach difficult passages with both confidence and humility: confidence that Scripture deserves our attention, and humility about whether we have always understood it correctly.

13. WHY HISTORICAL CONTEXT MATTERS IN THIS STUDY

Throughout the coming sessions, we will examine passages that are often presented as straightforward condemnations of homosexuality. Each passage has its own historical setting and interpretive questions.

The story of Sodom and Gomorrah must be understood within the narrative of Genesis and the way other biblical writers describe Sodom's wrongdoing. The prohibitions in Leviticus must be understood within Israel's Holiness Code and the covenant under which those commands were given.

Paul's argument in Romans must be read within its larger discussion of idolatry, human sin, and judgment. The creation account must be examined for what it actually teaches about companionship, marriage, and human relationships.

The Greek vocabulary in 1 Corinthians and 1 Timothy requires particular attention because English translations have sometimes used modern sexual terminology for ancient words whose precise meanings are not straightforward.

These contextual questions are not distractions from Scripture. They are necessary steps toward understanding it.

Without them, we risk treating ancient passages as though they were written directly in response to modern questions about sexual orientation and committed same-sex relationships.

The purpose of careful study is to avoid that mistake and allow the biblical texts to speak within their actual historical and theological settings.

14. THE IMPORTANCE OF PATIENCE WHEN SHARING WHAT WE LEARN

Learning something new about Scripture can be liberating, especially when it challenges an interpretation that has caused fear or rejection. It can also create frustration toward people who continue to repeat the older understanding.

That frustration is understandable, but it should not determine how we communicate.

Many Christians have never been invited to examine these passages beyond the interpretations they received in childhood. They may not know the history of the word *homosexual*, the meaning of the relevant Greek terminology, or the importance of ancient cultural context.

When confronted with unfamiliar information, people sometimes become defensive because they feel that their understanding of Scripture, their church tradition, or even their faith is being challenged.

Patient explanation is therefore more useful than ridicule. We can ask people to consider the original language, read passages in context, and examine how Scripture interprets Scripture without treating them as enemies.

This approach also reflects the distinction between correcting an interpretation and condemning the person who holds it. Someone can be sincerely mistaken without being malicious.

Our goal should be to communicate truth in a way that makes understanding possible. We may not persuade everyone, and we do not need to turn every conversation into an argument. Faithful witness involves both knowing what we believe and demonstrating the character of Christ in how we share it.

15. LET THE FRUIT SPEAK

Read Matthew 7:15–20 again

Jesus' teaching about recognizing people by their fruit provides a fitting final principle for this introductory session.

The Christian life is not measured merely by the ability to defend theological positions. It is also revealed through the character and conduct produced by our relationship with Christ.

For LGBTQ+ Christians, this is especially important because religious condemnation has sometimes been accompanied by assumptions that gay or lesbian people cannot genuinely love God, experience the Holy Spirit, or live faithful Christian lives.

Those assumptions are challenged when LGBTQ+ believers demonstrate the same Christian virtues expected of every other follower of Jesus. Faithfulness, generosity, humility, service, integrity, compassion, and devotion to Christ are not restricted to heterosexual people.

Our lives should therefore reinforce what we teach. If we want others to reconsider interpretations that have caused exclusion, we should demonstrate the grace and character of Jesus in our relationships with them.

This does not mean that good behavior settles every question of biblical interpretation. It means that Christian witness is more than an argument. The credibility of our message is strengthened when the fruit of our lives reflects the gospel we proclaim.

The goal of this study is not merely to leave with better answers to difficult biblical questions. It is to understand Scripture more faithfully and allow that understanding to produce lives that increasingly reflect Jesus.

QUESTIONS FOR PERSONAL REFLECTION

1. What messages about homosexuality and the Bible did you hear growing up, and who communicated those messages to you?
2. Have you personally experienced, or witnessed someone else experience, emotional or spiritual harm because of religious teaching about homosexuality?
3. Why is it important to distinguish between a person's sincere intentions and the accuracy of their biblical interpretation?
4. How might understanding that many non-affirming Christians are repeating what they were taught change the way you respond to them?

5. What is the difference between trying to win a theological argument and helping someone understand Scripture?
6. How does Matthew 7:15–20 challenge us to consider the fruit produced by our faith and conduct?
7. Before this study, did you realize that the modern word *homosexual* did not exist when the Bible was written?
8. Why is it important to distinguish sexual orientation from particular sexual behaviors when interpreting ancient biblical texts?
9. What assumptions might a modern reader bring to a biblical passage when an English translation uses the word *homosexual*?
10. What does the history of the word *homosexual* teach us about the relationship between biblical language and English translations?
11. Why should we examine the original Hebrew and Greek words rather than assuming that every English translation communicates precisely the same meaning?
12. How can the meaning of a biblical passage be affected by the historical period in which it was written?
13. Why is it important to understand the cultural circumstances of the people who originally received a biblical book?
14. What does 2 Timothy 3:16–17 teach about the purpose and inspiration of Scripture?
15. How does understanding inspiration as “God-breathed” differ from imagining that God mechanically dictated every biblical sentence?
16. Why does recognizing the human authorship of Scripture make historical and linguistic study more important rather than less important?
17. What is the difference between questioning the authority of Scripture and questioning a particular interpretation of Scripture?
18. Have you ever changed your understanding of a biblical passage after learning more about its historical or cultural context?
19. How can Christians communicate unfamiliar biblical information patiently to people who may initially feel threatened by it?
20. What would it look like for the fruit of your life to communicate the character of Jesus more effectively than a theological argument?

KEY TAKEAWAY

The purpose of this study is to examine what the Bible actually says—and does not say—about same-sex relationships. Many LGBTQ+ people have experienced fear, confusion, and rejection because of religious

messages presented as unquestionable biblical truth. Careful study provides an opportunity to distinguish the inspired biblical text from interpretations that may have been shaped by translation, tradition, or cultural assumptions.

One of the most important distinctions is that the biblical writers did not possess the modern framework of sexual orientation. The term *homosexual* emerged in the nineteenth century and did not appear in English Bible translations until the twentieth century. Ancient Hebrew and Greek expressions sometimes translated or interpreted in relation to homosexuality must therefore be examined within their original meanings and contexts.

Three principles will guide our study. First, the Bible was written in Hebrew, Greek, and Aramaic, so the original languages matter. Second, its books were written across many centuries, so chronology and the unfolding biblical story matter. Third, each book addressed particular people within particular cultures, so historical and cultural context matter.

We also affirm that Scripture is inspired by God. According to 2 Timothy 3:16, Scripture is God-breathed and useful for teaching, correction, and instruction in righteousness. Inspiration does not mean mechanical dictation; God worked through human authors who communicated in the languages and circumstances of their own times. Taking biblical inspiration seriously therefore requires us to take those human and historical dimensions seriously as well.

Finally, our goal is not to win theological arguments. Many Christians who hold non-affirming interpretations are sincere people repeating what they have been taught. We can challenge inaccurate interpretations without treating those who hold them as enemies. As Jesus teaches in Matthew 7, the fruit of our lives matters. Our commitment to understanding Scripture should be accompanied by patience, integrity, compassion, and the visible character of Christ.

The foundation for everything that follows is straightforward: **we honor Scripture not by repeating what we have always been told it means, but by taking the time to understand what it actually says.**

CLOSING PRAYER

God of truth and grace, thank You for giving us Your Word and for inviting us to know You more deeply through Scripture. Give us a genuine love for the Bible and a willingness to study it carefully, recognizing the languages, cultures, and historical circumstances through which You chose to communicate.

We acknowledge that Your Word has sometimes been interpreted and communicated in ways that have caused fear, confusion, and rejection. Bring healing to those who have been wounded by religious condemnation, and help us distinguish Your character from the failures of those who have claimed to speak for You.

Give us humility to question assumptions we have inherited and courage to follow the truth wherever careful study leads. Protect us from the temptation to use Scripture as a weapon, and teach us to approach difficult passages with patience, honesty, and reverence.

Help us treat those who disagree with kindness, recognizing that many are speaking from the understanding they have received. Give us wisdom to explain what we learn without arrogance or hostility, and let our conduct demonstrate the grace and character of Jesus.

As we continue this study, open our minds to understand Your Word and our hearts to receive what You are teaching us. May our growing understanding produce greater faithfulness, compassion, integrity, and love, so that the fruit of our lives bears witness to the transforming power of Your Spirit.

In Jesus' name, Amen.